

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/691,929 | 10/22/2003 | Scott Campbell | PA2587US | 1610 |
| 22830 CARR & FER | 7590 10/29/200 RELLUP | EXAM | IINER | |
| 2200 GENG ROAD | | | DEODHAR, OMKAR A | |
| PALO ALTO, | CA 94303 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3714 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/29/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 10/691,929 | CAMPBELL, SCOTT | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| OMKAR A. DEODHAR | 3714 | | | |

| - Period for | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Reply | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re | DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issued of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFT 1.15(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed list (6) IKCNTHS from the mailing date of the communication. It is provided by the Communication of the communicati | | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 June 2009</u> . | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | |
| 3)□ : | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Dispositio | on of Claims | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26-30</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| | a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| . — | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| . — | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26-30</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| . — | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | |
| | he specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 10)□ 1 | he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| _ | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| | acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | |
| * 0 | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | |
| 31 | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | |
| | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | |
| 1) Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | |

| Attachment(s) | |
|---|--|
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date |
| 2) V Information Parel, some Obstances (STAIGS (VIII) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application |

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/2008.

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection

Response to Arguments & Declaration

The Declaration filed on 6/8/2009 under 37 CFR 1.131, alleging that the presently claimed invention was actually reduced to practice in the U.S. no later than April 8, 2003, prior to the filing date of the Jen reference, is not persuasive for the following reasons:

First, the affidavit is not compliant with the requirement in 37 CFR 1.131. In situations where the original inventor cannot be found, his representative may submit the affidavit on his behalf; however the affidavit must show such action is necessary. Although the affidavit alleges that the original inventor could not be found, it must also be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47 (b), (requiring that such action is necessary to preserve the rights of the parties or to prevent irreparable damage, and the last known address of all of the inventors.) For at least this reason, the affidavit is not persuasive.

Secondly, the affidavit is not persuasive for the following reasons: The exhibit referenced in Paragraph 13 merely announces an upcoming release of Downhill Domination & thus does not show actual reduction to practice. The movie "teaser" referenced in Paragraph 14 & evidenced by a web page, does not show actual reduction to practice because web-links change over time & do not effectively demonstrate date of posting. Additionally, Paragraph 14 mentions a display of Downhill Domination occurring four days after the Jen reference's filing date. This does not

Art Unit: 3714

demonstrate actual reduction to practice because it is conceivable that coding and debugging did not complete prior to Jen's filing date.

For these reasons, the section 103 rejection in view of Jen is hereby maintained & repeated *in toto*

In fairness to Applicant, Examiner discovered prior art that may be relevant to the patentability of Applicant's invention. See the citations to "Gran Turismo 3", provided in the Conclusion of this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 1-24 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al. (US 6,652,376 B1) in view of Mott et al. (US 5,269,687), in yet further view of Jen (US 7,214,133).

Regarding claims 1,2,12,19-22, 24 and 30, Yoshida discloses a method, system and computer-readable storage medium having embodied thereon a program for displaying a graphical path in a video game, comprising retrieving graphical path data associated with a previous run, displaying the graphical path data as a visual string of path markers, and determining a color for a displayed path marker of the visual string of path markers based upon a comparison of a player's current speed and a reference speed at a given point.

Specifically, Yoshida discloses retrieving graphical path data associated with a previous run in that the video game system displays a reference path travel line established by a previous run (col. 11, lines 37-41), wherein the reference path may be displayed as a visual string of path markers (Fig. 9, 10,14,15,19). A color is determined for a displayed path marker based upon a player's current travel speed relative to the reference, i.e. ideal, travel speed that was established on a previous run (col. 10, lines 20-42). For instance, if it is determined that the player's current travel speed exceeds the ideal travel speed, the graphical path markers change colors (e.g. turn red) in order to indicate to the player whether they should apply the brakes to decrease their current travel speed (col. 12, lines 4-33). The reference speeds are recorded for each point, i.e. block, in the path (col. 2,lines 48-53).

Art Unit: 3714

Yoshida does not specifically disclose determining a color for a displayed path marker of the visual string of path markers based upon an elapsed time from a starting point to a current location of a player character of a current video game session and an elapsed time from a starting point to the current location of the player character associated with the displayed path marker from the previous run. However, it is well known in racing-type video games to provide a player with a visual indication of a current lap time in comparison with a previous lap time, as disclosed by Mott (Fig. 5. Current Lap 192, Previous Best Lap 194, Lap Time 162; col. 5, lines 60-67). That is, Mott displays an elapsed time from a starting point to a current location as the player approaches the finish line in the form of a Current Lap time display (Fig. 5), which measures the amount of time that has elapsed from the beginning of the race until the player reaches the finish line point. Mott visually displays a comparison of a current elapsed time to a previously recorded elapsed time from a starting point to a finish line location of a player in the Previous Best Lap time display (Fig. 5), Additionally, Mott discloses the use of a ghost car 150 (Fig. 3,4), i.e. graphical path data, which visually displays to the player a complete recording of a lap previously run by the user (col. 5, lines 8-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the color changing graphical path markers of Yoshida with the current and previous lap time indicators of Mott as these are both means of visually providing information to a player during a video game play session. Further, Mott specifically discloses providing instruction to a player for parameters other than time in col. 5, lines 26-34, which

Art Unit: 3714

teaches providing indicators for speed similar to the invention disclosed by Yoshida. To provide a visual indication of current lap time compared to a previous lap time is known in a racing-type video game system; providing an indication of a game parameter in the form of color changing path markers is also known in a racing-type video game system. To modify Yoshida's color changing markers to provide an indication of a currently elapsed time compared to a previously recorded elapsed time, instead of based upon current speed compared to a previously recorded ideal speed, would have required only routine skill in the art. Thus, all of the combined elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have vielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

The combination of Yoshida & Mott does not explicitly teach wherein the current location is after the starting line but prior to the finish line for a course being traversed in the current video game session.

Jen teaches the claimed "wherein the current location is after the starting line but prior to the finish line for a course being traversed in the current video game session". See Jen Col. 8. Lines 41-67, Col. 9. Lines 1-5 & 59-60. A user selects a recorded race to compete with. The game console configures the game using the same settings contained in the recorded race. The game displays both vehicles (i.e., the vehicle following the recorded race data & the vehicle controlled by the game console user) moving through the course. Recorded data may be portions of the race as opposed to the entire race. This teaches a portion of the race after a starting point but prior to

Art Unit: 3714

crossing the finish line. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to show this partial race data on the display screen in Mott's system (Figures 4/5). Note that such data would merely compliment data indicating previous lap time & would by no means detract from Mott's game. This modification is viewed as a substitution of known elements (providing previous race data indicating intermediate race timings) with the predictable results of providing players more data so that they can beat a previous best time. Such modification is also well within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 3-7, 26 Mott discloses the ability to determine a character state associated with a player character, including whether the character state is an off-track, on-track, or crashed state (col. 7, lines 14-24). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a visual indicator for any particular character state that may be associated with a player character as Mott teaches several examples of said character states able to be determined by the game.

Regarding claims 8-11,14, 15 Mott discloses the ability to display a visual, graphical indicator for previous lap times in Fig. 4, including a champion best lap time ("Beat" 164), previous run lap time ("Last" 164), and player best lap time (Fig. 5, "Previous Best Lap" 194). Therefore, it would have been obvious to display any previous run, including a worst time and an average time, as Mott discloses the ability to measure multiple player lap times.

Regarding claim 13,23, 29 Mott discloses storing the current graphical path data as "best time" run graphical path data if a total elapsed time of the current video game

Art Unit: 3714

session is less than a total elapsed time associated with a previous "best time" run, wherein a new best time obtained by a player is stored and displayed as the ghost car path (col. 5, lines 35-37).

Regarding claim 16, Yoshida discloses the visual string of path markers are generated at substantially equal-distance from each other (Fig. 9, 10,14,15,19).

Regarding claims 17,18,27, 28 Yoshida discloses retrieving the path data associated with a previous run, i.e. the reference data, from a data cache (i.e. database) on a memory (i.e. ROM), in col. 8, lines 31-38.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gran Turismo 3 ("GT3"), Sony Computer Entertainment, Released April 28, 2001, User Manual, Page 7. GT3 teaches a time trial arcade mode. "In time trial, the object is to complete the course in the shortest amount of time...To help improve your time, Ghost Data...can be loaded so you can compare your current pace with your record pace." See the GT3 user manual, Page 7. Where the record pace is a previous lap, the Ghost Data appearing alongside a player's current location teaches a portion of the race after a starting point but prior to crossing the finish line, for a course being traversed in the current video game session.

Art Unit: 3714

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OMKAR A. DEODHAR whose telephone number is (571)272-1647. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dmitry Suhol can be reached on 571-272-4430. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,929 Page 10

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Corbett Coburn/ Primary Examiner AU 3714